This article was downloaded by: [Renmin University of China] On: 13 October 2013, At: 10:22 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20

Syntheses and structures of three chiral complexes derived from nucleophilic addition of L-proline to di-2-pyridyl ketone

Ya-Min Li $^{a\ b}$, Yong-Hong Li a , Hui-Shuang Zhang c , Shao-Shuai Guo a & Rui-Ting Dong a

^a Institute of Molecular and Crystal Engineering, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan University, Kaifeng, Henan 475004, P.R. China

^b State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry , Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences , Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, P.R. China

^c Department of Chemical Engineering , Huaihai Institute of Technology , Lianyungang, Jiangsu 222005, P.R. China Published online: 26 Jul 2011.

To cite this article: Ya-Min Li , Yong-Hong Li , Hui-Shuang Zhang , Shao-Shuai Guo & Rui-Ting Dong (2011) Syntheses and structures of three chiral complexes derived from nucleophilic addition of L-proline to di-2-pyridyl ketone, Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 64:15, 2618-2630, DOI: 10.1080/00958972.2011.602672

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2011.602672</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions



Syntheses and structures of three chiral complexes derived from nucleophilic addition of L-proline to di-2-pyridyl ketone

YA-MIN LI*†‡, YONG-HONG LI†, HUI-SHUANG ZHANG§, SHAO-SHUAI GUO† and RUI-TING DONG†

 †Institute of Molecular and Crystal Engineering, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan University, Kaifeng, Henan 475004, P.R. China
‡State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, P.R. China
§Department of Chemical Engineering, Huaihai Institute of Technology, Lianyungang, Jiangsu 222005, P.R. China

(Received 30 November 2010; in final form 13 June 2011)

In the presence of nickel acetate, a chiral ligand, (S)-Hdphp ((S)-N-[di(2-pyridyl)-hydroxymethyl]-proline), was synthesized in situ by nucleophilic addition of L-proline to di-2-pyridyl ketone Based this ligand, three chiral complexes. on mononuclear ${Ni[(S)-dphp](DMF)(H_2O)}(ClO_4)$ ${Ni[(S)-dphp](H_2O)_2}(ClO_4)(H_2O)_{1.5}$ (1), **(2)**, and ${Ni[(S)-dphp](SCN)(H_2O)}$ (3), have been obtained and characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, elemental analyses, and infrared spectra. By virtue of charge-assisted $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen-bonding interactions, all the complexes possess double chain structures. The double chains were connected into 2-D networks via $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking and CH $\cdots \pi$ interactions in 1. For 2, $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen-bonding interactions between free water molecules and other oxygens as well as $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking and CH $\cdots \pi$ interactions extend the chains into a 3-D network. Complex 3 exhibits 3-D structure via $O-H \cdots S$ interactions.

Keywords: Nucleophilic additions; Chiral; Hydrogen-bonding interactions

1. Introduction

Metal salts play an important role in organic reactions, providing efficient synthetic pathways for synthesis making difficult reactions occur. Metal salts also have a role in synthesizing coordination polymers, and some novel structures have been synthesized through simple one-pot reactions which involve metal-assisted *in situ* ligand syntheses [1, 2].

Reaction involving a nucleophilic addition of secondary-amine to ketone is usually the first step of successive reactions in organic synthetic chemistry. Intermediates are commonly unstable and then dehydrate to obtain imines (Schiff base) or enamines. The reaction has been observed in many cyclization reactions [3–7] but is very rare in acyclization [8–10]. In our work, in the presence of nickel acetate, we use L-proline as

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: liyamin@henu.edu.cn; liyamin2006@hotmail.com



(S)-Hdphp

Scheme 1. The ligand diagram.

a nucleophilic agent to react with di-2-pyridine ketone to obtain ligand *in situ*. By this means, we have prepared and characterized two chiral tetranuclear isomorphous complexes, {Na[M₄L₃(OAc)₃](ClO₄)_{1.5}(H₂O)_{1.5}}(ClO₄)(OH)_{0.5}· 3H₂O (M = Co, Ni, HL = (C₅NH₄)₂C(OH)(C₄NH₇CO₂H)) [11]. Here based on the same ligand (*S*)-Hdphp ((*S*)-Hdphp = (*S*)-N-[di(2-pyridyl)-hydroxy-methyl]-proline, scheme 1), we report three mononuclear complexes, {Ni[(*S*)-dphp](DMF)(H₂O)}(ClO₄) (1), {Ni[(*S*)-dphp](H₂O)₂}(ClO₄)(H₂O)_{1.5} (2), and {Ni[(*S*)-dphp](SCN)(H₂O)} (3). Complexes 1, 2, and 3 all exhibit double chain structures through hydrogen-bonding interactions, and are further connected into 2-D or 3-D network *via* $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking (CH $\cdots \pi$) or hydrogen-bonding interactions.

2. Experimental

Caution: Perchlorate complexes of metal ions are potentially explosive. Only a small amount of material should be prepared and it should be handled with caution.

2.1. Materials and methods

La(ClO₄)₃ · $6H_2O$ (0.5 mol L⁻¹) was synthesized by dissolving lanthanide oxide in an excess of perchloric acid. Di-2-pyridyl ketone (dpk) (98%) and L-proline (99+%) were purchased from ACROS. Other chemicals were used as purchased with A.R. grade. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Magna 750 FT-IR spectrophotometer using KBr pellets from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹. Elemental analyses (C, H, and N) were performed *via* Vario EL III Etro Elemental Analyzer.

2.2. Syntheses of the complexes

2.2.1. {**Ni**[(*S*)-**dphp**](**DMF**)(**H**₂**O**)}(**ClO**₄) (1). A 10 mL DMF solution of Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O (0.3214 g, 1.3 mmol), di-2-pyridylketone (0.1842 g, 1 mmol), and L-proline (0.1152 g, 1 mmol) was refluxed for 1 h with stirring. After cooling, the mixture was added to NaClO₄·H₂O (0.2100 g, 1.5 mmol). The blue solution was left at ambient temperature for 2 weeks to obtain 0.22 g blue prism crystals (40.2%, based on di-2-pyridylketone). (Found (%): C, 41.38; H, 4.49; N, 10.01. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₅ClN₄NiO₉ (%): C, 41.68; H, 4.60; N, 10.23). IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): ν (NH) 3441, ν (OH) 3112, ν (CH) 2960, 2891, ν (C=O) 1655, ν (C–O) 1601, 1417, ν (py) 1443, 1387, 1030, 794, 768, 686, 666, ν (ClO₄⁻) 1089, 623.

2.2.2. {Ni[(*S*)-dphp](H₂O)₂}(ClO₄)(H₂O)_{1.5} (2). A 20 mL CH₃CH₂OH/H₂O (1:1, v/v) solution of Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O (0.3214 g, 1.3 mmol), di-2-pyridylketone (0.1842 g, 1 mmol), and L-proline (0.1152 g, 1 mmol) was refluxed at about 100°C for 1 h with stirring. After cooling, the mixture was added to aqueous solution (4 mL) of La(ClO₄)₃·6H₂O (0.5 mol L⁻¹). The blue solution was left at ambient temperature for 2 weeks to obtain 0.13 g blue prism crystals (25.0%, based on di-2-pyridylketone). (Found (%): C, 36.81; H, 4.48; N, 7.85. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₃ClN₃NiO_{10.50} (%): C, 36.99; H, 4.46; N, 8.09). IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): v(NH) 3496, v(OH) 3216, v(CH) 2982, 2892, v(C–O) 1603, 1415, v(py) 1464, 1447, 1025, 785, 766, 687, 668, v(ClO₄) 1086, 624.

2.2.3. {Ni[(*S*)-dphp](SCN)(H₂O)} (3). **2** (0.2570 g, 0.5 mmol) dissolved in 5 mL DMF with stirring about 20 min was added to a 10 mL CH₃CN solution of KSCN (0.9718 g, 1 mmol). After stirring for an additional 30 min, the mixture was filtered and 0.12 g blue prism crystals **3** were obtained 2 weeks later (55.4%, based on **2**) (Found (%): C, 46.81; H, 4.23; N, 12.65. Calcd For C₁₇H₁₈N₄NiO₄S (%): C, 47.14; H, 4.19; N, 12.94). IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): v(NH) 3407, v(OH) 3156, v(CH) 2952, 2890, v(C \equiv N) 2106, v(C–O) 1593, 1404, v(py) 1463, 1450, 1023, 787, 774, 683, 665.

2.3. X-ray crystallography

X-ray single crystal data were collected at 293(2) K (for 1 and 2) on a MERCURY-CCD area-detector diffractometer, and at 293(2) K on a Siemens SMART-CCD area-detector diffractometer (for 3) with Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Data reductions and absorption corrections of 1 and 2 were made with Multi-scan type, while those of 3 were performed with SMART and SADABS software. These structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 [12] and refined by full-matrix least-squares using SHELXL-97 [13]. Anisotropic displacement parameters were refined for all non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogens binding to C and hydroxyl-O were added in the riding mode and those of some water molecules were located from the difference Fourier maps. In the structure of 2, the ClO₄⁻ is disordered, resolved by two disordered groups with occupancy of 0.5 each. The crystal data and refinement details for the three complexes are listed in table 1.

	1	2	3
Empirical formula	C19H25ClN4NiO9	C ₁₆ H ₂₃ ClN ₃ NiO _{10.50}	C ₁₇ H ₁₈ N ₄ NiO ₄ S
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic
Space group	C2	C2	P2(1)2(1)2(1)
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)			
a	21.149(7)	24.185(2)	9.0639(6)
b	9.652(3)	9.1804(7)	12.5843(8)
С	14.231(5)	10.0455(9)	16.2259(10)
β	124.181(3)	107.362(4)	90
Volume (Å ³), Z	2403.2(14), 4	2128.7(3), 4	1850.8(2), 4
Formula weight	547.59	519.53	433.12
Calculated density, ρ (Mg m ⁻³)	1.513	1.621	1.554
Absorption coefficient, μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.974	1.099	1.192
F(000)	1136	1076	896
Reflections measured	9248	8242	9415
Independent reflections	4761	4624	3259
R(int)	0.0176	0.0204	0.0586
GOOF	1.025	1.003	1.280
Flack parameter	-0.0014(16)	0.002(9)	0.05(3)
R^{a}	0.0434	0.0262	0.0586
<i>Rw</i> ^b	0.1151	0.0585	0.1107

Table 1. Crystal data and refinement details for 1-3.

1, *a* = 0.0781, *b* = 0.0000; **2**, *a* = 0.0236, *b* = 0.0000; **3**, *a* = 0.0186, *b* = 3.6271. ^a*R* = $\sum(||F_o| - |F_c||)/\sum |F_o|$, ^b*Rw* = $\{\sum w[(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2]/\sum w[(F_o^2)^2]\}^{1/2}$, *w* = $1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$, P = $(F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis

In modern organic synthesis, it is important to synthesize optically pure compounds starting from the appropriate chiral source. Herein, in the presence of nickel acetate, we use L-proline as precursor, which upon addition to di-2-pyridyl ketone gives (S)-Hdphp in situ, which is reported only once [11]. We have tried our best to obtain the ligand without metal ion, but without success. By replacement of nickel acetate with copper acetate or other acetate, some experiments have been made but not giving similar complexes with chiral (S)-Hdphp as the ligand. Thus, the reaction is metal assisted.

3.2. The structure of 1 and 2

The crystal structure of 1 comprises one mononuclear cation Ni[(S)-dphp] $(DMF)(H_2O)^+$ (figure 1) and counteranion ClO_4^- , but 2 is composed of a mononuclear cation Ni[(S)-dphp](H₂O)⁺₂ (figure 2), counteranion ClO⁻₄, and one and a half free water molecules. Ni(II) exhibits a slightly distorted octahedron and is chelated by three nitrogens and one oxygen from (S)-dphp⁻; it is also coordinated to two oxygens, one from water and one from DMF in 1, and two water molecules in 2. The chiral (S)-dphp⁻ retains S conformation and here is tetradentate ($\mu_1: \eta^1: \eta^1: \eta^1: \eta^1: \eta^1$, scheme 2) to coordinate. Two oxygens from carboxyl and hydroxyl do not coordinate. The Ni-N distance is 2.073(3)–2.096(3) Å for 1 and 2.0797(18)–2.0925(19) Å for 2, while Ni–O length is 2.037(2)-2.062(3) Å for 1 and 2.0240(13)-2.077(2) Å for 2 (table 2).



Figure 1. ORTEP plot of the cation in 1 with atom labeling and thermal ellipsoids drawn at 30% probability.



Figure 2. ORTEP plot of the cation in 2 with atom labeling and thermal ellipsoids drawn at 30% probability.

Neighboring mononuclear species are alternately arranged into a double chain by strong hydrogen-bonding interactions along the *b*-axis. Uncoordinated O(2) of COO⁻ serves as a H-acceptor and interacts with hydroxyl-O(3) (H-donor), which drives the formation of a left-handed (*M*) helical chain (the O(3)...O(2) separations are 2.624(4) Å for 1, 2.614(2) Å for 2, table 3, figure 3a). Hydrogen-bonding interaction of O(2) and ligated H₂O(4) forms one right-handed (*P*) helical chain (the O(4)...O(2)



Scheme 2. Coordination mode of the ligand to metal.

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 1–3.

1 Ni(1)-O(1) Ni(1)-O(5) Ni(1)-N(1)	2.037(2) 2.062(3) 2.082(3)	Ni(1)-O(4) Ni(1)-N(2) Ni(1)-N(3)	2.061(3) 2.073(3) 2.096(3)
$\begin{array}{c} O(1)-Ni(1)-O(4)\\ O(4)-Ni(1)-O(5)\\ O(4)-Ni(1)-N(2)\\ O(1)-Ni(1)-N(1)\\ O(5)-Ni(1)-N(1)\\ O(1)-Ni(1)-N(3)\\ O(5)-Ni(1)-N(3)\\ O(5)-Ni(1)-N(3) \end{array}$	93.43(11)90.42(14)92.24(13)82.70(10)96.45(13)161.24(11)93.23(14)	$\begin{array}{c} O(1)-Ni(1)-O(5)\\ O(1)-Ni(1)-N(2)\\ O(5)-Ni(1)-N(2)\\ O(4)-Ni(1)-N(1)\\ N(2)-Ni(1)-N(1)\\ O(4)-Ni(1)-N(3)\\ N(2)-Ni(1)-N(3)\\ N(2)-Ni(1)-N(3)\\ \end{array}$	90.93(13) 90.26(11) 177.01(13) 172.14(12) 80.98(12) 104.82(13) 84.79(12)
N(1)-N(1)-N(3) 2 Ni(1)-O(1) Ni(1)-O(5) Ni(1)-N(2)	78.66(12) 2.0240(13) 2.077(2) 2.0888(19)	Ni(1)-O(4) Ni(1)-N(3) Ni(1)-N(1)	- 2.0419(17) 2.0797(18) 2.0925(19)
$\begin{array}{l} O(1)-Ni(1)-O(4) \\ O(4)-Ni(1)-O(5) \\ O(4)-Ni(1)-N(3) \\ O(1)-Ni(1)-N(2) \\ O(5)-Ni(1)-N(2) \\ O(1)-Ni(1)-N(1) \\ O(5)-Ni(1)-N(1) \\ N(2)-Ni(1)-N(1) \end{array}$	94.40(7) 89.12(8) 102.41(7) 82.98(6) 98.33(8) 89.56(6) 177.06(8) 80.15(7)	$\begin{array}{c} O(1)-Ni(1)-O(5)\\ O(1)-Ni(1)-N(3)\\ O(5)-Ni(1)-N(3)\\ O(4)-Ni(1)-N(2)\\ N(3)-Ni(1)-N(2)\\ O(4)-Ni(1)-N(1)\\ N(3)-Ni(1)-N(1)\\ \end{array}$	192.76(8) 162.52(6) 92.26(8) 172.20(7) 79.73(7) 92.51(7) 85.00(7)
3 Ni(1)-N(2) Ni(1)-N(3) Ni(1)-N(1)	2.016(5) 2.089(5) 2.109(4)	Ni(1)–O(1) Ni(1)–N(4) Ni(1)–O(4)	2.030(4) 2.107(5) 2.115(5)
$\begin{array}{l} N(2)-Ni(1)-O(1)\\ O(1)-Ni(1)-N(3)\\ O(1)-Ni(1)-N(4)\\ N(2)-Ni(1)-N(1)\\ N(3)-Ni(1)-N(1)\\ N(2)-Ni(1)-O(4)\\ N(3)-Ni(1)-O(4)\\ N(1)-Ni(1)-O(4)\\ \end{array}$	99.7(2) 161.25(17) 90.48(17) 170.65(19) 78.8(2) 92.3(2) 92.55(19) 96.83(19)	N(2)-Ni(1)-N(3) N(2)-Ni(1)-N(4) O(1)-Ni(1)-N(4) O(1)-Ni(1)-N(1) N(4)-Ni(1)-N(1) O(1)-Ni(1)-O(4) N(4)-Ni(1)-O(4)	98.9(2) 92.1(2) 86.37(19) 82.46(17) 78.73(18) 89.17(17) 175.6(2)

D–H · · · A	$H\cdots A\;(\mathring{A})$	$D \cdots A \;(\mathring{A})$	$D-H\cdots A$ (°)
$1 \\ O4-H4B\cdots O2\#1^a$	2.15(6)	2.746(4)	125(6)
$O3-H3B\cdots O2\#2^{a}$	1.85	2.624(4)	158
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2} \\ \text{O5-H5B}\cdots\text{O6} \\ \text{O3-H3B}\cdots\text{O2}\#1^{a} \\ \text{O4-H4C}\cdots\text{O2}\#2^{a} \\ \text{O6-H}^{b}\cdots\text{O3}\#3 \\ \text{O6-H}^{b}\cdots\text{O6}\#4 \end{array}$	1.85(4) 1.81 1.867(18)	2.734(3) 2.614(2) 2.687(2) 2.902 2.816	172(3) 165 170(3)
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3} \\ \textbf{O3-H3B} \cdots \textbf{O2\#1}^{a} \\ \textbf{O4-H4B} \cdots \textbf{O2\#2}^{a} \\ \textbf{O4-H4C} \cdots \textbf{S1\#3} \end{array}$	1.96 1.93(4) 2.49(3)	2.771(6) 2.758(6) 3.337(5)	172 160(9) 168(7)

Table 3. Important hydrogen-bonding interactions present in 1-3.

D = Donor, A = Acceptor.

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: 1: #1 - x + 3/2, y + 1/2, -z + 1; #2 - x + 3/2, y - 1/2, -z + 1; #2 - x + 3/2, y - 1/2, -z + 1; #2 - x + 1/2, y - 1/2, -z + 1; #3 x, y - 1, z; #4 1 - x, y, 1 - z; **3**: #1 x - 1/2, -y + 3/2, -z + 1; #2 x + 1/2, -y + 3/2, -z + 1; #3 - x + 2, y + 1/2, -z + 3/2.

^aCharge-assisted hydrogen-bonds.

^bHydrogen atom(s) of water molecules not located.

separations of 2.746(4) Å for 1, 2.687(2) Å for 2, table 3, figure 3b). Relative to the helical chains of coordination polymers [14, 15], hydrogen-bonding helical chains are seldom reported [16]. Here, O(2) of COO⁻ as an acceptor carrying negative charge forms charge-assisted hydrogen bonds with O(3) and O(4), which is usually much stronger than hydrogen bonds between neutral atoms. This is why the two hydrogen-bonding interactions are strong [17–19]. As shown in figure 3(c), the two helical chains are not independent, but interwoven into a double chain by sharing the metal center and (*S*)-dphp⁻.

For 1, between two neighboring double chains, $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking and CH $\cdots \pi$ interactions construct a 2-D network (figure 4). Two adjacent Ni[(S)-dphp](DMF)(H₂O)⁺ species are stacked through offset pyridine-pyridine $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking (face-face distance of about 3.58 Å, centroid-centroid distance of about 3.79 Å) and CH $\cdots \pi$ interactions (centroid-centroid 4.92 Å, H \cdots centroid 2.85 Å), which can be compared with the literature [20, 21].

Different from 1, 2 contains free water molecules, which exhibit a further complement of hydrogen bonds to construct a 2-D framework. As shown in figure 5(a), adjacent double chains are connected by free water molecules O(6) and O(6)#4 (#4: 1 - x, y, 1 - z) through several hydrogen-bonding interactions (O(5)...O(6) 2.734(3) Å, O(6)...O(3) 2.902 Å, O(6)...O(6) 2.816 Å, table 3). However, isolated ClO₄⁻ and free H₂O(15), due to their disorder, prohibit further discussion about related hydrogen bonds. Between two 2-D layers, two adjacent Ni[(S)-dphp](H₂O)⁺₂ species are stacked through offset pyridine–pyridine $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking (face–face distance of about 3.58 Å, centroid–centroid distance of about 3.83 Å) and CH... π interactions (centroid–centroid 4.89 Å, H...centroid 3.13 Å), which can be compared with the literature [22]. Thus, these 2-D layers stack into a 3-D network (figure 5b).

Complexes 1 and 2 belong to the same space group C2, possessing similar mononuclear structure, but showing different extended networks. The leading reason



Figure 3. (a) *M*-helical motif in **2**; (b) *P*-helical motif in **2**; (c) double-chain structure in **2**; the charge-assisted hydrogen bonds are indicated as dashed $(O(3) \cdots O(2), O(4) \cdots O(2))$. Hydrogens are omitted for clarity.



Figure 4. The packing diagram in 1; $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking, and CH $\cdots \pi$ interactions are indicated; intermolecular hydrogen bonds are indicated as dashed (O(3)–H(3B) \cdots O(2), O(4)–H(4B) \cdots O(2)).

is that 2 has more water molecules (ligated and free) than 1, increasing hydrogenbonding interactions, in addition to $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking, $CH \cdots \pi$ interactions, and other hydrogen-bonding interactions in constructing the 3-D network. For 1, replacement of one ligated water molecule with one DMF, which does not participate in hydrogenbonding, only two hydrogen bonds and $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking, $CH \cdots \pi$ interactions result in a 2-D network.

3.3. The structure of 3

Complex **3** is made up of neutral Ni[(*S*)-dphp](SCN)(H₂O) (figure 6). Similar to **1** and **2**, Ni(II) is a distorted octahedral geometry, coordinated to three nitrogens and one oxygen from (*S*)-dphp⁻. Additional coordination is from μ_t -SCN⁻ and H₂O. The chiral (*S*)-dphp⁻ adopts the same coordination mode as **1**. Bond distances of Ni–N and Ni–O are 2.016(5)–2.109(4) Å and 2.030(4)–2.115(5) Å, respectively (table 2).

Similar to **1** and **2**, double chain structure is formed by neighboring Ni[(*S*)-dphp](SCN)(H₂O) units in inverse fashion *via* hydrogen-bonding interactions $(O(3) \cdots O(2) 2.771(6) \text{ Å}, O(4) \cdots O(2) 2.758(6) \text{ Å}, table 3)$ along the *a*-axis. SCN⁻ also participates in intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interaction with O(4) $(O(4) \cdots S(1) 3.337(5) \text{ Å}, table 3)$ [23], as shown in figure 7, which serves to link adjacent double chains, resulting in a 3-D network.

Compound 3 belongs to P2(1)2(1)2(1) space group, different from that of 1 and 2, leading to different packing along axial directions. No $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking or CH $\cdots \pi$ interactions are found, but SCN⁻ plays a leading role to construct the 3-D network by intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interaction.

3.4. IR spectra

IR spectra are similar for 1–3. The stretching vibrations of N–H, O–H, and C–H were found from 3500 to 2800 cm^{-1} . Because two CO bonds of $-\text{COO}^-$ (dphp⁻) bring resonance, typical C=O stretching vibrations disappear. For example, in 1 spectral



Figure 5. (a) The hydrogen bond motif in **2**; (b) the packing diagram of **2**, $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking, and CH $\cdots \pi$ interactions are indicated; intermolecular hydrogen bonds are indicated as dashed (O(3) \cdots O(2), O(4) \cdots O(2), O(5) \cdots O(6), O(6) \cdots O(3), O(6) \cdots O(6A)). Some hydrogens are omitted for clarity.



Figure 6. ORTEP plot of 3 with atom labeling and thermal ellipsoids drawn at 30% probability.

bands at 1601 and 1417 cm⁻¹ are, respectively, from asymmetrical and symmetrical vibrations of COO⁻. Peaks at 1510–1000 cm⁻¹ are attributed to pyridyl ring stretching, 660–800 cm⁻¹ for the pyridyl ring C–H in-plane vibration and out-of-plane vibrations. For **3**, due to the existence of SCN⁻, the sharp absorbance at 2106 cm⁻¹ should be ascribed to C=N. The C=O (DMF) strong peak is at 1655 cm⁻¹ in **1**. The symmetric and asymmetric vibrations of ClO₄⁻ are at 1089 and 623 cm⁻¹ for **1**, 1086 and 624 cm⁻¹ for **2**.

4. Conclusion

In the presence of nickel acetate, a chiral ligand (S)-dphp derived from nucleophilic addition of L-proline as a secondary amine to ketone (di-2-pyridylketone) has been synthesized *in situ*, and three chiral mononuclear complexes containing the ligand have been obtained. With O-H...O hydrogen-bonding interactions, three complexes exhibit double-chain structures. Compounds 1 and 2 crystallize in the same space group, but small differences in mononuclear structures result in different 3-D or 2-D networks constructed by $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking (CH... π) or hydrogen-bonding interactions. Compound 3 belongs to a different space group from 1 and 2, leading to different 3-D packing through hydrogen-bonding interactions.

Supplementary material

Crystallographic data for the structural analyses reported in this article with CCDC numbers 802015, 802016, and 802017 for 1-3, respectively, can be obtained free of



Figure 7. The 3-D network assembled through hydrogen bonds in 3; intermolecular hydrogen bonds are indicated as dashed (O(3)–H(3B)···O(2), O(4)–H(4C)···O(2), O(4)–H(4B)···S(1)). Some hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

charge *via* www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank X.T. Wu and T.L. Sheng for their help. This research is supported by financial support from Henan University, the National Science Foundation of the Education Department of Henan Province (2011A150004), and the State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry (20110008).

References

- J.K. Cheng, Y.G. Yao, J. Zhang, Z.J. Li, Z.W. Cai, X.Y. Zhang, Z.N. Cheng, Y.B. Chen, Y. Kang, Y.Y. Qin, Y.H. Wen. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 126, 7796 (2004).
- [2] J.P. Zhang, Y.Y. Lin, X.C. Huang, X.M. Chen. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 127, 5495 (2005).

- [3] K. Hirai, T. Fujishita, T. Ishiba, H. Sugimoto, S. Matsutani, Y. Tsukinoki, K. Hirose. J. Med. Chem., 25, 1466 (1982).
- [4] Y. Morita, R. Kamakura, M. Takeda, Y. Yamamoto. Chem. Commun., 359 (1997).
- [5] A. Krauze, J. Popelis, G. Duburs. Tetrahedron, 54, 9161 (1998).
- [6] G. Kaupp, M.R. Naimi-Jamal, J. Schmeyers. Chem. Eur. J., 8, 594 (2002).
- [7] A. Zawadzka, A. Leniewski, J.K. Maurin, K. Wojtasiewicz, A. Siwicka, D. Blachut, Z. Czarnocki. Eur. J. Org. Chem., 13, 2443 (2003).
- [8] J.W. Lyga, R.M. Patera. J. Heterocycl. Chem., 27, 919 (1990).
- [9] J. Grodner, M. Chmielewski. Tetrahedron, 51, 829 (1995).
- [10] B.H. Lee, A. Biswas, M.J. Miller. J. Org. Chem., 51, 106 (1986).
- [11] Y.M. Li, S.C. Xiang, T.L. Sheng, J.J. Zhang, S.M. Hu, R.B. Fu, X.H. Huang, X.T. Wu. *Inorg. Chem.*, 45, 6577 (2006).
- [12] G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXS-97, Program for X-ray Crystal Structure Solution, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany (1997).
- [13] G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXL-97, Program for X-ray Crystal Structure Refinement, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany (1997).
- [14] B.-L. Wu, L.-Y. Meng, H.-Y. Zhang, H.-W. Hou. J. Coord. Chem., 63, 3155 (2010).
- [15] G.-B. Che, J. Wang, B. Liu, X.-Y. Li, C.-B. Liu. J. Coord. Chem., 62, 302 (2009).
- [16] (a) C. Janiak, A.-C. Chamayou, A.K.M.R. Uddin, M. Uddin, K.S. Hagen, M. Enamullah. *Dalton Trans.*, 3698 (2009). (b) M. Enamullah, A. Sharmin, M. Hasegawa, T. Hoshi, A.-C. Chamayou, C. Janiak. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2146 (2006). (c) E. Redel, M. Fiederle, C. Janiak. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 635, 1139 (2009).
- [17] H.A. Habib, B. Gil-Hernández, K. Abu-Shandi, J. Sanchiz, C. Janiak. Polyhedron, 29, 2537 (2010).
- [18] B.M. Drašković, G.A. Bogdanović, M.A. Neelakantan, A.-C. Chamayou, S. Thalamuthu, Y.S. Avadhut, J. Schmedt auf der Günne, S. Banerjee, C. Janiak. *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 10, 1665 (2010).
- [19] (a) M.D. Ward. Chem. Commun., 5838 (2005); (b) A.-C. Chamayou, M.A. Neelakantan, S. Thalamuthu, C. Janiak. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 365, 447 (2011); (c) B. Gil-Hernández, H.A. Höppe, J.K. Vieth, J. Sanchiz, C. Janiak. Chem. Commun., 46, 8270 (2010).
- [20] C. Janiak. Dalton Trans., 3885 (2000).
- [21] X.M. Chen, G.F. Liu. Chem. Eur. J., 8, 4811 (2002).
- [22] M. Nishio, Y. Umezawa, K. Honda, S. Tsuboyama, H. Suezawa. CrystEngComm., 11, 1757 (2009).
- [23] S.A. Bourne, O. Hallale, K.R. Koch. Cryst. Growth Des., 5, 307 (2005).